

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.        | FILING DATE                     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/618,990             | 07/14/2003                      | Scott Cunningham     | 2850                | 5967             |
| 50855<br>Tyco Healthca | 7590 07/06/2005<br>re Group I P | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 60 MIDDLET             | OWN AVENUE                      | RYCKMAN, MELISSA K   |                     |                  |
| NORTH HAV              | EN, CT 06473                    |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                        |                                 |                      | 3773                |                  |
|                        |                                 |                      |                     |                  |
|                        |                                 |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                        |                                 |                      | 07/06/2009          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)      |  |
|-----------------|-------------------|--|
| 10/618,990      | CUNNINGHAM ET AL. |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit          |  |
| MELISSA RYCKMAN | 3773              |  |

|   | MELISSA RYCKMAN   | 3773   |   |
|---|---|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the   | correspondence add   | ress                                      |
| THE REPLY FILED 27 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF  | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR  | R ALLOWANCE  |   |
| <ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on<br/>application, applicant must timely file one of the following<br/>application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe<br/>for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C<br/>periods:</li> </ol>  | the same day as filing a Notice o<br>replies: (1) an amendment, affida<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance   | f Appeal. To avoid abar<br>vit, or other evidence, v<br>e with 37 CFR 41.31; o | vhich places the<br>r (3) a Request       |
| a) Mean period for reply expires @months from the mailing date by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will be statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTH'S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07?  | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fort<br>ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH   | ng date of the final rejection   | on.                                       |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date<br>wave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period to<br>under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set<br>set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later<br>may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).<br>NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1<br>tension and the corresponding amoun<br>thortened statutory period for reply or<br>than three months after the mailing d | t of the fee. The appropri-<br>ginally set in the final Office                 | ate extension fee<br>to action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), 1  | o avoid dismissal of the   |   |
| ∏ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t     (a)    ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor     (b)    ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo   | nsideration and/or search (see NO   |  | cause                                     |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a  | ter form for appeal by materially r   |  | he issues for                             |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  | corresponding number of finally re  | gected claims.   |   |
| 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all   |   |  |   |
| non-allowable claim(s).  7.   For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)   how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   | vill be entered and an e   | xplanation of                             |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-9.12-22.24 and 25. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |  |   |
| B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   |   |  |   |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>  | vercome all rejections under appe   | eal and/or appellant fail  | s to provide a                            |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been consideration than the request for reconsideration of the request for reconsideration.  |   |  |   |
| See Continuation Sheet.  12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ( 13. ☐ Other:  | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |  |   |
| /(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3773   | /Melissa Ryckman/<br>Examiner Art Unit 377  | 3  |   |

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

## Application No.

The applicant states the dimensions are described in the specification, and points to the specification, however the applicant was not acting as a lexicographer in the specification. The applicant must clearly define the dimension xt in the claims. Alchas and Allien teaches the claimed invention, the dimention "xt" is not clearly defined in the claim. The applicant argues Gravless does not teaches an angle of "about 2 to 10 degrees", Gravles to describe a control to degrees, "Gravles to the claim the claim the provided in the claim the claim the state of "about 2 to 10 degrees", Gravles to describe a control to degrees, "Gravles to the claim the claim the claim to the claim the claim to the claim the claim to the claim the cla